

BASES OF FEIGIN-STOYANOVSKY'S TYPE SUBSPACES FOR $C_\ell^{(1)}$

IVANA BARANOVIĆ, MIRKO PRIMC AND GORAN TRUPČEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct combinatorial bases of Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspaces of standard modules for level k affine Lie algebra $C_\ell^{(1)}$. We prove spanning by using annihilating field $x_\theta(z)^{k+1}$ of standard modules. In the proof of linear independence we use simple currents and intertwining operators whose existence is given by fusion rules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ its Cartan subalgebra, R the corresponding root system. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be a root decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . Fix root vectors $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$$

be a \mathbb{Z} -gradation of \mathfrak{g} , where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. Such gradations correspond to a choice of a minuscule coweight $\omega \in \mathfrak{h}$. Denote by $\Gamma \subset R$ a set of roots such that $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \sum_{\omega(\alpha)=1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We call Γ the *set of colors*.

Affine Lie algebra associated with \mathfrak{g} is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, where c is the canonical central element, and d the degree operator. Elements $x_\alpha(n) = x_\alpha \otimes t^n$ are fixed real root vectors. The \mathbb{Z} -gradation of \mathfrak{g} induces analogous gradation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1,$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is a commutative Lie subalgebra with a basis

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \{x_\gamma(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

For a standard $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(\Lambda)$ of level $k = \Lambda(c)$, define a *Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspace* $W(\Lambda)$ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ -submodule generated with a highest weight vector v_Λ ,

$$W(\Lambda) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1) \cdot v_\Lambda \subset L(\Lambda).$$

In this paper, for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type C_ℓ , we construct a basis of $W(\Lambda)$ consisting of monomial vectors $x(\pi)v_\Lambda$, where $x(\pi)$ are monomials in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt's theorem gives a spanning set of $W(\Lambda)$

$$(2) \quad \{x_{\gamma_1}(-n_1)x_{\gamma_2}(-n_2) \cdots x_{\gamma_t}(-n_t)v_\Lambda \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In order to obtain a basis of $W(\Lambda)$ we find relations for standard modules upon which we reduce the spanning set. Finally, we prove linear independence by using intertwining operators.

The notion of Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspaces is similar to a notion of *principal subspaces* that were introduced by B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ ([FS]). In this case one looks at a triangular decomposition of \mathfrak{g} instead of (1). Many different authors have studied these spaces, their bases, character

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B67; Secondary 17B69, 05A19.


Partially supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project 2634 and by the Croatian Scientific Centre of Excellence QuantixLie.

formulas, exact sequences etc. ([Ge], [Sto], [CLM1], [CLM2], [AKS], [C], [CalLM1], [CalLM2], [Bu], [Sa]).

Another type of principal subspaces, the so called Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspaces $W(\Lambda)$ defined above, was implicitly studied in [P1] for $\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$. It turned out that in this case, bases are parameterized by $(k, \ell+1)$ -admissible configurations, studied by Feigin et al. ([FJLMM], [FJMMT]). The \mathbb{Z} -gradations (1) are closely related to simple current operators ([DLM]). We hope that this kind of construction of combinatorial bases will be possible for all affine Lie algebras. Up to now, this was done for the type $A_\ell^{(1)}$ in [P3], [T1] and [T2], for $B_2^{(1)}$ in [P4], for $D_4^{(1)}$, level 1 and 2, in [Ba], and for all classical types, level 1, in [P2].

The first general case beyond admissible configurations was given in [T1] and [T2] where a new kind of combinatorial conditions emerged. The minuscule coweight ω that was considered in these papers corresponds to a α_m , $1 \leq m \leq \ell$. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$ represents matrices of trace 0 then in the \mathbb{Z} -gradation (1) the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 consists of block-diagonal matrices, while \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_{-1} consist of matrices with non-zero entries only in the upper right or lower-left block, respectively. This is illustrated on the figure 1.

FIGURE 1. \mathbb{Z} -gradation of $\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$



The set of colors Γ corresponds to a rectangle with rows $1, \dots, m$ and columns m, \dots, ℓ . Monomial basis of $W(\Lambda)$ is described in terms of *difference* and *initial conditions*.

In the level 1 case a monomial vector (2) satisfies difference conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$, if colors of elements of the same degree $-n$ lie on a diagonal path in Γ as shown in figure 2. Furthermore, colors of elements of degree $-n-1$ lie on a diagonal path that lies below or to the left of the preceding path.

A monomial vector (2) satisfies initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$ if a diagonal path of colors of degree -1 lie either below the r -th row (if $1 \leq r \leq m$), or on the left of the r -th column (if $m \leq r \leq \ell$).

In the case considered in this paper, when \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type C_ℓ , similar combinatorics appear. The set of colors Γ can be represented as a triangle with rows and columns ranging from 1 to ℓ (cf. figure 4).

For a fundamental weight Λ_r , a monomial vector (2) satisfies difference conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$, if colors of elements of two consecutive degrees lie on diagonal paths that are related in a way shown in figure 3, i.e. if $(-n)$ -path ends in the i -th column, then $(-n-1)$ -path lies below the i -th row. A monomial vector (2) satisfies initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$ if a diagonal path of colors of degree -1 lies below the r -th row.

FIGURE 2. Difference conditions for $\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$ level 1





FIGURE 3. Difference conditions for C_ℓ level 1



In the proof of linear independence we follow ideas of Georgiev (cf. [Ge]), and of Caparelli, Lepowsky and Milas (cf. [CLM1], [CLM2]). Start from a relation of linear dependence

$$(3) \quad \sum c_\pi x(\pi) v_\Lambda = 0$$

where $x(\pi)$ are some monomials that satisfy difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda)$ and $c_\pi \in \mathbb{C}$. The main idea is to use intertwining operators between standard modules (cf. [FHL], [DL]) and simple current operators (cf. [DLM]) to reduce this relation to a relation of linear dependence on another standard module and proceed inductively.

More concretely, let $x(\mu)$ be, in some sense, the smallest monomial in (3). Then there is a coefficient of intertwining operator w that commutes with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ and which sends v_Λ to a vector v' from the top of a standard module $L(\Lambda')$ that is annihilated by almost all monomials greater than $x(\mu)$. Furthermore, for the remaining monomials the action of $x(\pi)$ on v' yields $x(\pi_2)[\omega]v_{\Lambda''}$, where $[\omega]$ is a simple current operator and $x(\pi_2)$ is a submonomial of $x(\pi)$. On the other side, commutation of

a monomial with $[\omega]$ raises degrees of factors by 1; thus we obtain

$$(4) \quad 0 = \sum c_\pi [\omega] x(\pi_2^+) v_{\Lambda''},$$

where $x(\pi_2^+)$ is obtained from $x(\pi_2)$ by raising degrees of factors by 1. Finally, simple current operator $[\omega]$ is a linear injection, hence

$$(5) \quad 0 = \sum c_\pi x(\pi^+) v_{\Lambda''}.$$

This is a relation of linear dependence on $L(\Lambda'')$ with monomials of higher degree than in (3). Since they are obtained from the ones from (3) by raising their degrees, it turns out that they also satisfy difference and initial conditions, this time for $W(\Lambda'')$. This enables us to use inductive argument to obtain $c_\mu = 0$.

In the higher level case, difference and initial conditions are again given in terms of certain paths in Γ . Moreover, just like in the A_ℓ case considered in [T2], in the C_ℓ case one can embed $L(\Lambda)$ into a tensor product of level 1 modules and factorize monomial vectors from the basis into a tensor product of level 1 monomial vectors from the corresponding bases (see Proposition 5 below). This fact is crucial for an easy transfer of the proof of linear independence from the level 1 case to higher levels. In this sense, this proof is different than the proof given in [P4]. In the D_4 -case (cf. [Ba]) it seems that this property does not hold and it remains to see what would be a good way to capture phenomena that are happening there.

We give a brief outline of the paper. In sections 2 and 3 we introduce the setting and pose the main problem. In section 4 we find relations between monomials and use them to reduce the spanning set in terms of difference and initial conditions. The existence and some properties of intertwining operators and a simple current operator are established in sections 5 and 6. In section 7 we explore the action of monomials of higher degree on the vectors from the top of Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspaces. This will enable us to find suitable coefficients of intertwining operators having properties that we have discussed above, and prove linear independence in the final section.

We are grateful to Dražen Adamović and Alex Feingold for useful informations and stimulating discussions on fusion rules and intertwining operators.

2. AFFINE LIE ALGEBRA $C_\ell^{(1)}$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra of type C_ℓ and let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be a root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . The corresponding root system R may be realized in \mathbb{R}^ℓ with the canonical basis $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell$ as

$$R = \{\pm \epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We fix simple roots

$$\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_{\ell-1} = \epsilon_{\ell-1} - \epsilon_\ell, \quad \alpha_\ell = 2\epsilon_\ell$$

and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_+$ be the corresponding triangular decomposition. Let $\theta = 2\alpha_1 + \dots + 2\alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell = 2\epsilon_1$ be the maximal root and

$$\omega_r = \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, \ell$$

the fundamental weights. We fix root vectors $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and denote by $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ dual roots. We identify \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* via the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ normalized in such a way that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$. We fix

$$\omega = \omega_\ell = \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_\ell \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

This is the minuscule coweight, that is

$$\langle \omega, \alpha \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in R,$$

and hence we have a \mathbb{Z} -gradation of \mathfrak{g}

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\langle \omega, \alpha \rangle = 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1} = \sum_{\langle \omega, \alpha \rangle = \pm 1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Note that


$$(6) \quad \Gamma = \{ \alpha \in R \mid \langle \omega, \alpha \rangle = 1 \} = \{ \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell \}.$$

We say that Γ is *the set of colors* and we write

$$(7) \quad (ij) = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \in \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad x_{ij} = x_{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j}$$

(see figure 4).

FIGURE 4. The set of colors Γ



The subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are commutative subalgebras, \mathfrak{g}_0 is reductive and $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ is a simple algebra of type $A_{\ell-1}$ with root basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$. We identify $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the canonical basis e_1, \dots, e_ℓ of the vector space \mathbb{C}^ℓ by the rule

$$(8) \quad e_i \xrightarrow{x - \alpha_i} e_{i+1},$$

The subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 acts on \mathfrak{g}_1 by adjoint action. For a suitably chosen root vectors x_{ij} this action is given by

$$(9) \quad x_{ij} \xrightarrow{x - \alpha_i} x_{i+1, j} \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(10) \quad x_{ij} \xrightarrow{x - \alpha_j} x_{i, j+1} \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(11) \quad x_{ii} \xrightarrow{x - \alpha_i} 2x_{i, i+1}$$

(cf. [H]).

We identify the Weyl group \mathcal{W} of $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ with the group of permutations

$$\sigma: i \mapsto \sigma(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

so that for $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, $i \neq j$, we have $\sigma\alpha = \epsilon_{\sigma(i)} - \epsilon_{\sigma(j)}$. For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ we have an automorphism σ of \mathfrak{g}_0 and a linear map σ on the vector representation $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^\ell$ of \mathfrak{g}_0 ,

$$(12) \quad \sigma: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \sigma: V_1 \rightarrow V_1,$$

such that

$$(13) \quad \sigma x_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times x_{\sigma\alpha}, \quad \sigma e_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times e_{\sigma(i)}$$

and

$$(14) \quad \sigma(xv) = (\sigma x)(\sigma v)$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $v \in V_1$. For simple reflection $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{W}$ the linear map σ_i is $(\exp x_{-\alpha_i})(\exp -x_{\alpha_i})(\exp x_{-\alpha_i})$ and formula (14) holds in general for integrable \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules (cf. [K]). Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong S^2(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$, we also have a linear map σ on \mathfrak{g}_1 such that

$$(15) \quad \sigma x_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^\times x_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}.$$

To abbreviate expressions like the ones in (13) and (15) we introduce the following notation: for two vectors, monomials, etc. we write $x \sim y$ if the two are equal up to a nonzero scalar, i.e.

$$(16) \quad x = Cy, \quad \text{for some } C \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

In this way, relations (13) and (15) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma x_\alpha &\sim x_{\sigma\alpha}, & \sigma e_i &\sim e_{\sigma(i)}, \\ \sigma x_{ij} &\sim x_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ the affine Lie algebra of type $C_\ell^{(1)}$ associated to \mathfrak{g} ,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] + \mathbb{C}c, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathbb{C}d,$$

with the canonical central element c and the degree element d . Set

$$x(n) = x \otimes t^n$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and denote by $x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(n)z^{-n-1}$ a formal Laurent series in formal variable z . The commutation relations in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are

$$[x(i), y(j)] = [x, y](i+j) + i\langle x, y \rangle \delta_{i+j, 0} c, \quad [c, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0, \quad [d, x(j)] = jx(j).$$

We have a triangular decomposition

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_- + \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} + \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_- = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}], \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}c + \mathbb{C}d, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_+ + \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t].$$

We also have the induced \mathbb{Z} -gradation

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} + \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 + \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$$

of affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm 1} = \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}].$$

The subspace $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a commutative subalgebra and \mathfrak{g}_0 acts on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ by adjoint action.

We denote by $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_\ell$ the fundamental weights of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$,

$$(17) \quad \Lambda_r = \Lambda_0 + \omega_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

3. FEIGIN-STOYANOVSKY'S TYPE SUBSPACES

Denote by $L(\Lambda)$ a standard (i.e. integrable highest weight) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with the highest weight

$$\Lambda = k_0\Lambda_0 + k_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + k_\ell\Lambda_\ell,$$

$k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$. Throughout the paper we denote by $k = \Lambda(c)$ the level of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(\Lambda)$,

$$k = k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_\ell,$$

and by v_Λ a fixed highest weight vector of $L(\Lambda)$.

For each integral dominant Λ we have a *Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspace*

$$W(\Lambda) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1)v_\Lambda \subset L(\Lambda).$$

This space has a Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt spanning set

$$(18) \quad \{x_{\gamma_1}(-n_1)x_{\gamma_2}(-n_2)\cdots x_{\gamma_t}(-n_t)v_\Lambda \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The main problem in this paper is to reduce this PBW spanning set to a basis of $W(\Lambda)$. The main three steps in our construction are:

- find relations for standard modules,
- reduce the spanning set, and
- prove linear independence by using intertwining operators.

4. DIFFERENCE AND INITIAL CONDITIONS

Start from the vertex operator algebra relation (cf. [LP], [MP], [LL])

$$(19) \quad x_\theta(z)^{k+1} = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{n_1 + \cdots + n_{k+1} = N} x_\theta(-n_1) \cdots x_\theta(-n_{k+1}) \right) z^{n-k-1} = 0 \quad \text{on } L(\Lambda).$$

Adjoint \mathfrak{g}_0 -action on (19) gives us the space of relations $U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cdot x_\theta(z)^{k+1} = 0$. This is a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with the highest weight $2(k+1)\omega_1$. Hence, as a vector space, it is isomorphic to $S^{2(k+1)}(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$. The basis of $S^{2(k+1)}(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$ is given by $e_1^{m_1} \cdots e_\ell^{m_\ell}$, $m_1 + \cdots + m_\ell = 2(k+1)$, which we view as multisets $\{1^{m_1}, \dots, \ell^{m_\ell}\}$. Since relations (9)–(11) hold, one can easily see that the corresponding “basis” of the set of relations is given by the following proposition:

Proposition 1. *On $L(\Lambda)$ the following relations hold*

$$(20) \quad \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}\} = \{1^{m_1}, \dots, \ell^{m_\ell}\}} C_{\mathbf{ij}} x_{i_1 j_1}(z) x_{i_2 j_2}(z) \cdots x_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}}(z) = 0,$$

for some $C_{\mathbf{ij}} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, where the sum runs over all such partitions of the multiset $\{1^{m_1}, \dots, \ell^{m_\ell}\}$.

For each power of z from (20) we obtain a relation between monomials

$$(21) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \cdots + n_{k+1} = N \\ \{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}, j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}\} = \{1^{m_1}, \dots, \ell^{m_\ell}\}}} C_{\mathbf{ij}} x_{i_1 j_1}(-n_1) x_{i_2 j_2}(-n_2) \cdots x_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}}(-n_{k+1}) = 0.$$

We find the smallest monomials in these relation, the *leading terms* of relations. Since they can be expressed as a sum of higher terms, we can exclude them from the spanning set (18).

We introduce a linear order on monomials in the following way. First, define a linear order on the set of colors Γ : $(i'j') < (ij)$ if $i' > i$ or $i' = i, j' > j$. On the set of variables $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{x_\gamma(n) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ define a linear order by $x_\alpha(n) < x_\beta(n')$ if $n < n'$ or $n = n', \alpha < \beta$. Assume that variables in monomials are sorted

descendinly from right to left. The order $<$ on the set of monomials is defined as a lexicographic order, where we compare variables from right to left (from the greatest to the lowest one).

Order $<$ is compatible with multiplication (see [P1], [T1]):

$$(22) \quad \text{if } x(\pi) < x(\pi') \text{ then } x(\pi)x(\pi_1) < x(\pi')x(\pi_1)$$

for monomials $x(\pi), x(\pi'), x(\pi_1) \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}]$.

The leading terms can be most conveniently described in terms of exponents:

Proposition 2. *A monomial*

$$x(\pi') = x_{i_t j_t} (-n-1)^{b_{i_t j_t}} \cdots x_{i_1 j_1} (-n-1)^{b_{i_1 j_1}} x_{i_s j_s} (-n)^{a_{i_s j_s}} \cdots x_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}} (-n)^{a_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}}},$$

where $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_t \leq j_t \leq \cdots \leq j_1 \leq i_{t+1} \leq \cdots \leq i_s \leq j_s \leq \cdots \leq j_{t+1}$,
 $(i_\nu, j_\nu) \neq (i_{\nu+1}, j_{\nu+1})$, and

$$(23) \quad b_{i_1 j_1} + \cdots + b_{i_t j_t} + a_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}} + \cdots + a_{i_s j_s} = k+1,$$


is a leading term of a relation (21) corresponding to the multiset

$$\{i_1^{b_{i_1 j_1}}, \dots, i_t^{b_{i_t j_t}}, j_t^{b_{i_t j_t}}, \dots, j_1^{b_{i_1 j_1}}, \\ i_{t+1}^{a_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}}}, \dots, i_s^{a_{i_s j_s}}, j_s^{a_{i_s j_s}}, \dots, j_{t+1}^{a_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}}}\}$$

and a degree $N = (k+1)n + m$, where $m = b_{i_1 j_1} + \cdots + b_{i_t j_t}$.

The colors of leading terms lie on diagonal paths in Γ , see figure 5.

FIGURE 5. Difference conditions for level k



Proof: Consider a relation (21) corresponding to a multiset $\{p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_{2k+2}\}$ and a total degree $-n_1 - \cdots - n_{k+1} = -N \in -\mathbb{N}$.

First consider the case $N = (k+1)n$. In this case the leading term has all factors of the same degree $-n$ so we need to find minimal configuration of colors whose rows and columns joined give $\{p_1, \dots, p_{2k+2}\}$. It is clear that rows of the minimal configuration are $\{p_1, \dots, p_{k+1}\}$ and its columns are $\{p_{k+2}, \dots, p_{2k+2}\}$. Otherwise there would be a leading term $x(\pi) = x(\pi_1)x_{ij}(-n)x_{i'j'}(-n)$, $i \leq j < i' \leq j'$, and it is clear that a monomial $x(\pi') = x(\pi_1)x_{ij'}(-n)x_{ji'}(-n)$ from the same relation is smaller than $x(\pi)$. By a similar argument we conclude that the minimal configuration is obtained by pairing maximal rows with minimal columns; i.e. it consists of colors $\{(p_1 p_{2k+2}), (p_2 p_{2k+1}), \dots, (p_{k+1} p_{k+2})\}$ (if $x(\pi) = x(\pi_1)x_{ij}(-n)x_{i'j'}(-n)$,

$i < i' < j < j'$, then $x(\pi') = x(\pi_1)x_{ij'}(-n)x_{i'j}(-n)$ is smaller than $x(\pi)$. Hence it is a configuration whose colors lie on a diagonal path like in figure 5.

Next, consider the case $N = (k+1)n + m$. In this case the leading term has m factors of degree $-n-1$, and $k+1-m$ factors of degree $-n$. The leading term is obtained first by choosing the minimal possible $(-n)$ -part, and then the minimal possible $(-n-1)$ -part. Hence $(-n)$ -part corresponds to $\{p_{2m+1}, \dots, p_{2k+2}\}$, while $(-n-1)$ -part corresponds to $\{p_1, \dots, p_{2m}\}$, and colors of these parts lie on diagonal paths like in figure 5. ■

We say that a monomial $x(\pi)$ satisfies *difference conditions*, or shortly, that $x(\pi)$ *satisfies DC*, if it does not contain leading terms. More precisely, $x(\pi)$ satisfies difference conditions if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq j_t \leq \dots \leq j_1 \leq i_{t+1} \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq j_s \leq \dots \leq j_{t+1}$,

$$(24) \quad b_{i_1 j_1} + \dots + b_{i_t j_t} + a_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}} + \dots + a_{i_s j_s} \leq k,$$

where a_{ij} 's and b_{ij} 's denote exponents of $x_{ij}(-n)$ and $x_{ij}(-n-1)$ in $x(\pi)$, respectively.

Note that in the case of level $k=1$, difference conditions imply that if $x(\pi) = x(\pi')x_{ij}(-n)$ then $x(\pi')$ does not contain factors $x_{i'j'}(-n)$, $i \leq i' \leq j' \leq j$ or $i' \leq i \leq j \leq j'$, nor it contains factors $x_{i'j'}(-n-1)$, $i' \leq j' \leq i$. Hence, $x(\pi) = \dots x_{i'_s j'_s}(-n-1) \dots x_{i'_1 j'_1}(-n-1) x_{i_t j_t}(-n) \dots x_{i_1 j_1}(-n) \dots$ satisfies difference conditions for level $k=1$ if

$$(25) \quad i_1 < \dots < i_t, \quad j_1 < \dots < j_t, \quad i'_1 < \dots < i'_s, \quad i_t < j'_1 < \dots < j'_s.$$

Its colors lie on diagonal paths and a diagonal path of $(-n-1)$ -part lies below i_t -th row, where i_t is the column of the smallest color of the $(-n)$ -part; see figure 3.

Remark 1. Similar difference conditions appear in another construction of combinatorial bases for $C_\ell^{(1)}$ (cf. [PŠ]).

Lemma 3. On $L(\Lambda_r)$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}(-1)v_{\Lambda_r} &= 0, & \text{if } j \leq r, \\ x_{ij}(-1)v_{\Lambda_r} &\neq 0, & \text{if } j > r. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: For $\alpha \in R$ denote by $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a subalgebra generated by x_α and $x_{-\alpha}$, and let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\alpha) = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\alpha) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

be the corresponding affine Lie algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$. It has a canonical central element $c' = \langle x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha} \rangle c = 2c / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. Hence the restriction of $L(\Lambda_r)$ is a level one representation if α is a long root, and a level two representation if α is a short root.

Consider $\alpha = (jj) = 2\epsilon_j$, $j \leq r$. Then $(jj)^\vee = (jj) = 2\epsilon_j$ and $\langle \omega_r, (jj)^\vee \rangle = \delta_{j>r}$. Hence, by (17), if $j \leq r$, then $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\alpha))v_{\Lambda_r}$ is a level one representation with 2-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\alpha)$ -module on top, and therefore it must be the standard $A_1^{(1)}$ -module $L(\Lambda_1)$. If $j > r$, then the $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\alpha)$ -module on top is 1-dimensional, hence $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\alpha))v_{\Lambda_r}$ must be the standard $A_1^{(1)}$ -module $L(\Lambda_0)$ (cf. [K]). Therefore

$$(26) \quad x_{jj}(-1)v_{\Lambda_r} = 0, \quad \text{if } j \leq r,$$


$$(27) \quad x_{jj}(-1)v_{\Lambda_r} \neq 0, \quad \text{if } j > r,$$

which proves the lemma for $i = j$.

For $i < j$, action by $x_{\alpha_i}(0) \dots x_{\alpha_{j-1}}(0)$ on (26) and (27) gives the claim. ■

A monomial $x(\pi)$ satisfies *initial conditions* for $W(\Lambda_r)$ if it does not contain a factor $x_{ij}(-1)$, $i \leq j \leq r$. Note that if a monomial $x(\pi)$ satisfies difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$, then the colors of (-1) -factors lie on a diagonal path below the r -th row (see figure 6).

FIGURE 6. Initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$




Generally, let $\Lambda = k_0\Lambda_0 + \dots + k_\ell\Lambda_\ell$. We say that $x(\pi)$ satisfies *initial conditions* for $W(\Lambda)$ if for every $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq j_t \leq \dots \leq j_1$,

$$(28) \quad a_{i_1 j_1} + \dots + a_{i_t j_t} \leq k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{j_1-1}$$

where a_{ij} 's denote exponents of $x_{ij}(-1)$ in $x(\pi)$ (see figure 7). One immediately sees that for $\Lambda = \Lambda_r$ the two definitions of initial conditions are equivalent.

FIGURE 7. Initial conditions for $\Lambda = k_0\Lambda_0 + \dots + k_\ell\Lambda_\ell$



Remark 2. Like in [Ba] and [T2], initial conditions can be expressed in terms of difference conditions by adding “imaginary” (0)-factors to $x(\pi)$. Let

$$x(\pi_0) = x_{1\ell}(0)^{k_1} x_{2\ell}(0)^{k_2} \dots x_{\ell\ell}(0)^{k_\ell}.$$

Note that colors of $x(\pi_0)$ lie on a diagonal path like in figure 5. Then $x(\pi)$ satisfies difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda)$ if and only if $x(\pi') = x(\pi)x(\pi_0)$ satisfies

difference conditions. In fact, initial conditions are defined in such a way so that this property holds.

Proposition 4. *The set of monomial vectors $x(\pi)v_\Lambda$ satisfying difference conditions (24) and initial conditions (28) span $W(\Lambda)$.*

Proof: By (21), if $x(\pi)$ does not satisfy difference condition (24), then $x(\pi)v_\Lambda$ can be expressed in terms of higher monomial vectors. Hence we can exclude $x(\pi)v_\Lambda$ from the spanning set (18).

By definition of level one initial conditions, if $x(\pi)$ does not satisfy initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_r)$, then $x(\pi)v_{\Lambda_r} = 0$ and $x(\pi)v_{\Lambda_r}$ can be excluded from the spanning set (18). Assume $k > 1$ and that $x(\pi)$ does not satisfy initial condition (28), and set $d = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{j_1-1} + 1$. Factorize $x(\pi) = x(\pi'')x(\pi')$, where $x(\pi')$ consists only of (-1) -factors lying on a diagonal path from (28). Furthermore, one can assume that the length of $x(\pi')$ is equal to d and that $d < k + 1$ (otherwise (28) is equivalent to (24)).

Set $\Lambda' = \sum_{r=0}^{j_1-1} k_r \Lambda_r$, $\Lambda'' = \sum_{r=j_1}^{\ell} k_r \Lambda_r = \Lambda - \Lambda'$. Denote by $v_{\Lambda'}$ and $v_{\Lambda''}$ highest weight vectors of standard modules $L(\Lambda')$ and $L(\Lambda'')$. Then, by the complete reducibility, $L(\Lambda) \subset L(\Lambda') \otimes L(\Lambda'')$, $v_\Lambda = v_{\Lambda'} \otimes v_{\Lambda''}$. Since, by lemma 3, all factors of $x(\pi')$ annihilate $v_{\Lambda''}$, we have

$$x(\pi')v_\Lambda = (x(\pi')v_{\Lambda'}) \otimes v_{\Lambda''}.$$

Note that $L(\Lambda')$ is a module of level $d - 1 < k$. From relations (21) for the module $L(\Lambda')$ we obtain monomials $x(\pi'_1), \dots, x(\pi'_s)$ such that $x(\pi')v_{\Lambda'} = C_1 x(\pi'_1)v_{\Lambda'} + \dots + C_s x(\pi'_s)v_{\Lambda'}$, $C_t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and $x(\pi') < x(\pi'_t)$. Also from these relations, we see that colors of monomials $x(\pi'_t)$ lie in the j_1 -th row or above. By lemma 3, all factors of $x(\pi'_t)$ also act as 0 on $v_{\Lambda''}$. Consequently,

$$x(\pi')v_\Lambda = C_1 x(\pi'_1)v_\Lambda + \dots + C_s x(\pi'_s)v_\Lambda,$$

and $x(\pi)v_\Lambda$ can be expressed in terms of higher monomial vectors. Therefore, it can be excluded from the spanning set (18). ■

Like in the A_ℓ -case ([T2]), difference and initial conditions for level $k > 1$ can be interpreted in terms of difference and initial conditions for level 1:

Proposition 5. *Let $L(\Lambda) \subset L(\Lambda_{i_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\Lambda_{i_k})$ be a standard module of level k . Monomial $x(\pi)$ satisfies difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda)$ if and only if there exists a factorization*

$$x(\pi) = x(\pi^{(1)}) \dots x(\pi^{(k)}),$$

such that $x(\pi^{(j)})$ satisfies difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_{i_j})$.

Proof: We follow the idea from [T2]; here we give a sketch of the proof. First consider the case $\Lambda = k\Lambda_0$ for which initial conditions do not provide any additional relations and we only need to consider difference conditions.

Define another order on the set of variables: $x_{ij}(-n) \sqsubset x_{i'j'}(-n')$ if either $-n \leq -n' - 2$ or $-n = -n' - 1, j > i'$ or $-n = -n', i > i', j > j'$. Equivalently, $x_{ij}(-n) \sqsubset x_{i'j'}(-n')$ if $x_{ij}(-n) < x_{i'j'}(-n')$ and a monomial $x_{ij}(-n)x_{i'j'}(-n')$ satisfies level 1 difference conditions. This is a strict partial order on $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Consider monomials $x(\pi) \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Gamma}]$ as multisets; then a monomial $x(\pi)$ satisfies level k difference conditions if and only if every subset of $x(\pi)$ in which there are no two elements comparable in the sense of \sqsubset , has at most k elements. To see this, let $x_{ij}(-n), x_{i'j'}(-n') \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, $x_{ij}(-n) < x_{i'j'}(-n')$. They are incomparable in the sense \sqsubset if and only if either $-n = -n' - 1$ and $j \leq i'$ or $-n = -n'$ and $i \geq i'$ or $j \geq j'$. It is clear that factors of leading terms (23) are mutually incomparable; consequently, if $x(\pi)$ does not satisfy difference conditions, then it has a subset of at

least $k + 1$ mutually incomparable elements. Conversely, consider a subset of $x(\pi)$ whose elements are mutually incomparable. By the observation above, degrees of its elements differ for at most 1. Moreover, elements of the same degree lie on a diagonal path and the two paths are related like in (23).

Note that if $x_{\gamma_1}(-n_1) \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset x_{\gamma_t}(-n_t)$, then the monomial $x_{\gamma_1}(-n_1) \cdots x_{\gamma_t}(-n_t)$ satisfies level 1 difference conditions. Now, a combinatorial lemma from [T2] implies that $x(\pi)$ can be partitioned into k linearly ordered subsets which proves proposition in the $\Lambda = k\Lambda_0$ case.

By remark 2, in the general case $\Lambda = k_0\Lambda_0 + \cdots + k_\ell\Lambda_\ell$, initial conditions can be regarded as difference conditions by considering monomials $x(\pi') = x(\pi)x(\pi_0)$ instead of $x(\pi)$, where $x(\pi_0) = x_{1\ell}(0)^{k_1} \cdots x_{\ell\ell}(0)^{k_\ell}$. By above arguments, we can partition $x(\pi')$ into k linearly ordered subsets. Since $x_{i\ell}(0)$'s are mutually incomparable, they lie in different subsets. Moreover, a subset containing $x_{i\ell}(0)$ gives a monomial satisfying difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda_i)$, again by remark 2. ■

5. INTERTWINING OPERATORS

Consider a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module $V_i = U(\mathfrak{g}_0)v_{\Lambda_i} \subset L(\Lambda_i)$ and \mathbb{C}^ℓ as the vector representation for \mathfrak{g}_0 -action. Then

$$V_i = \bigwedge^i \mathbb{C}^\ell,$$

for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$ (cf. [Bo], [H]). If e_1, \dots, e_ℓ is a basis for \mathbb{C}^ℓ with \mathfrak{g}_0 -action defined by (8), then

$$(29) \quad v_{p_1 \dots p_i} = e_{p_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_i}, \quad 1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_i \leq \ell$$

is a basis for V_i . Moreover,

$$v_{\Lambda_i} = v_{12 \dots i}.$$

If $I = \{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$, $1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_i \leq \ell$, then denote by $v_I = v_{p_1 \dots p_i}$. Note that for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ we have a linear map σ on V_i such that

$$\sigma v_{p_1 \dots p_i} \sim v_{\sigma(p_1) \dots \sigma(p_i)},$$

where we use the notation from (16). Later on we shall also use other consequences of the formula (14) for integrable \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules, for example the formula

$$\sigma(x_{pq}(-1)v_{p_1 \dots p_i}) \sim x_{\sigma(p)\sigma(q)}(-1)v_{\sigma(p_1) \dots \sigma(p_i)}.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $v \in V_i$. Then $x_\gamma(n)v = 0$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $n \geq 0$, and $x_\alpha(n)v = 0$, for $\alpha \in R$, $n \geq 1$.*

Proof: We only need to show $xv = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $v \in V_i$; other relations are clear from the definition. Let $v' \in V_i$ be such that $\mathfrak{g}_1 v' = 0$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then $xyv' = [xy]v' + yxv' = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 v_{\Lambda_i} = 0$, the claim follows. ■

The standard $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(\Lambda_0)$ is a vertex operator algebra and $L(\Lambda_1), \dots, L(\Lambda_\ell)$ are modules for vertex operator algebra $L(\Lambda_0)$ (cf. [FLM], [LL]). By using Theorem 6.2 in [FF] it is easy to see that the space of intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{Y} : L(\Lambda_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\Lambda_i), L(\Lambda_{i+1}))\{\{z\}\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}(w, z)u = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Q}} w_m u z^{-m-1}$$

is 1-dimensional for $i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$.

By Lemma 6.1 in [P4] for such nonzero \mathcal{Y} there exists $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$(30) \quad V_1 \otimes V_i \rightarrow V_{i+1}, \quad w \otimes u \mapsto w_m u$$

is a nonzero homomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. It is easy to see that the multiplicity of V_{i+1} in $V_1 \otimes V_i$ is 1 — one way to see this is by using Parthasarathy-Ranga Rao-Varadarajan's theorem 5.2 in [FF] — hence we can normalize \mathcal{Y} so that the map (30) is the homomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules

$$(31) \quad V_1 \otimes V_i \rightarrow V_{i+1}, \quad v_m u = v \wedge u.$$

From the commutator formula for \mathcal{Y} and Lemma 6 we have:

Proposition 7. *For $v \in V_1 = \mathbb{C}^\ell$:*

- $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)$ commutes with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$
- for $u \in V_i$, the coefficient of z^{-m-1} of $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)u$ is

$$v_m u = v \wedge u.$$

6. SIMPLE CURRENT OPERATOR

Recall that we have fixed the minuscule coweight $\omega = \omega_\ell \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We shall use simple current operators

$$L(\Lambda_i) \xrightarrow{[\omega]} L(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) \xrightarrow{[\omega]} L(\Lambda_i)$$

such that simple current commutation relation

$$(32) \quad x_\alpha(n)[\omega] = [\omega]x_\alpha(n + \alpha(\omega)), \quad \alpha \in R, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

holds (see [DLM] and [Ga], or Remark 5.1 in [P4]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_\gamma(-n-1)[\omega] &= [\omega]x_\gamma(-n) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma \\ x(\mu)[\omega] &= [\omega]x(\mu^+) \quad \text{for a monomial } x(\mu) \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1^-), \end{aligned}$$

where by $x(\mu^+)$ we denote a monomial obtained by raising degrees in $x(\mu)$ by 1. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha(-n)[\omega] &= [\omega]x_\alpha(-n) \quad \text{for } x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \\ \sigma[\omega] &= [\omega]\sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \mathcal{W}. \end{aligned}$$

In the level $k > 1$ case, for $\Lambda = k_0\Lambda_0 + k_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + k_\ell\Lambda_\ell$, we embed $L(\Lambda)$ in a tensor product of standard modules of level 1

$$L(\Lambda) \subset L(\Lambda_0)^{k_0} \otimes L(\Lambda_1)^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\Lambda_\ell)^{k_\ell},$$

with a highest weight vector

$$v_\Lambda = v_{\Lambda_0}^{\otimes k_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\Lambda_\ell}^{\otimes k_\ell},$$

and we use the level k simple current operator $[\omega]: L(\Lambda) \rightarrow L(\Lambda')$,

$$[\omega] = [\omega] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\omega].$$

7. RELATIONS

From (21) we immediately obtain the following relations:

Lemma 8. *On a level one module $L(\Lambda_r)$*

$$(33) \quad x_{ii}(-1)x_{ii}(-1) = 0,$$

$$(34) \quad x_{ij}(-1)x_{ii}(-1) = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(35) \quad x_{jj}(-1)x_{ij}(-1) = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(36) \quad x_{ij}(-1)x_{ij}(-1) \sim x_{ii}(-1)x_{jj}(-1), \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(37) \quad x_{jk}(-1)x_{ii}(-1) \sim x_{ik}(-1)x_{ij}(-1) = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j < k,$$

$$(38) \quad x_{jk}(-1)x_{ij}(-1) \sim x_{jj}(-1)x_{ik}(-1) = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j < k,$$

$$(39) \quad x_{kk}(-1)x_{ij}(-1) \sim x_{jk}(-1)x_{ik}(-1) = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j < k,$$

$$(40) \quad x_{jk}(-1)x_{il}(-1) \sim C_1 x_{jl}(-1)x_{ik}(-1) + C_2 x_{kl}(-1)x_{ij}(-1),$$

for $i < j < k < l$ and some $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Lemma 9. *If $i, j \in \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\}$, where $1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_r \leq \ell$, then*

$$x_{ij}(-1)v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_r} = 0.$$

Proof: Let $i = s_p, j = s_q, p \leq q \leq r$. By lemma 3, we have

$$(41) \quad x_{pq}(-1)v_{12\dots r} = 0.$$

Next, by acting on (41) with a linear map σ corresponding to $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(t) = s_t, t = 1, \dots, r$ (see (12)), we obtain the desired relation. ■

Lemma 10. *If $i \notin \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\}$, then*

$$(42) \quad x_{is_p}(-1)v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_r} \sim x_{s_p s_p}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots i \dots \underline{s_p} \dots s_r}.$$

We use underline to denote that the corresponding indices should be excluded.

Proof: Let $s_{q-1} < i < s_q, q \leq p$. By lemma 9, we have

$$(43) \quad x_{q+1, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q-1, q+1\dots r+1} = 0.$$

We act with $x_{\alpha_q} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and obtain

$$(44) \quad x_{q, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q-1, q+1\dots r+1} - C' x_{q+1, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q, q+2\dots r+1} = 0,$$

for some $C' \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Hence

$$(45) \quad x_{q, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q-1, q+1\dots r+1} \sim x_{q+1, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q, q+2\dots r+1}.$$

By induction, we obtain

$$(46) \quad x_{q, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots q-1, q+1\dots r+1} \sim x_{p+1, p+1}(-1)v_{1\dots p, p+2\dots r+1}.$$

By acting on (46) with a linear map σ corresponding to $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(t) = s_t$, for $t \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, $\sigma(t) = s_{t-1}$, for $t \in \{q+1, \dots, r+1\}$, and $\sigma(q) = i$, we obtain (42). ■

Lemma 9 can be generalized in the following way:

Lemma 11. *Let $x(\pi) = x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$, $i_t \leq j_t$, and assume $j_1 < \dots < j_m$. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ be such that $\{1, \dots, j_m\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subset I$. If $j_m \in I$, then*

$$x(\pi)v_I = 0.$$

Proof: If $m = 1$ this is just lemma 9 since $i_1, j_1 \in I$. For $m > 1$ we use induction. If $i_m \in I$, then lemma 9 implies $x(\pi)v_I = 0$. If $i_m \notin I$, then $i_m = j_r$, for some $r < m$. By lemma 10

$$x_{j_r j_m}(-1)v_I = x_{j_m j_m}(-1)v_{I'},$$

where $I' = (I \setminus \{j_m\}) \cup \{j_r\}$. By induction,

$$x_{i_r j_r}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_{I'} = 0,$$

which gives the claim. ■

Lemma 12. Let $x(\pi) = x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$, $i_t \leq j_t$, and assume $j_1 < \cdots < j_{m-1} \leq j_m$. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ be such that $\{1, \dots, j_m\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subset I$. If $j_{m-1} = j_m$, then

$$x(\pi)v_I = 0.$$

Proof: For $m = 2$ we have $x(\pi)v_I = x_{i_2 j_2}(-1)x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_I$. Assume $i_1 \leq i_2$. If $i_2 = j_2$, then $x(\pi)v_I = 0$, by (35). Otherwise, $i_1, i_2 \in I$ and $x(\pi)v_I \sim x_{j_2 j_2}(-1)x_{i_1 i_2}(-1)v_I = 0$, by (39), (36) and lemma 9.

For $m > 2$ we use induction. Assume $i_{m-1} \leq i_m$. If $i_m = j_m$, then $x(\pi)v_I = 0$, by (35). Otherwise, by (39) and (36),

$$x(\pi)v_I \sim x_{j_m j_m}(-1)x_{i_{m-1} i_m}(-1)x_{i_{m-2} j_{m-2}} \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_I.$$

If $i_m \in I$, then $x(\pi)v_I = 0$, by lemma 11. If $i_m \notin I$, then $i_m = j_r$, for some $r < m - 1$. By induction,

$$x_{i_{m-1} j_r}(-1)x_{i_r j_r} \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_I = 0,$$

from which the claim follows. ■

So far we have described conditions upon which a monomial of “small” degree will annihilate vectors from the top of a standard module. In the following two propositions we describe in more detail the action of these monomials on vectors from the top.

Proposition 13. Let $x(\pi) = x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_2 j_2}(-1)x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$ satisfy difference conditions, i.e. $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$, $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$ and $i_t \leq j_t$. Set $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$, $I' = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Then

$$(47) \quad x(\pi)v_I \sim [\omega]v_{I'}.$$

Proof: We first show

$$(48) \quad x_{mm}(-1)x_{m-1, m-1}(-1) \cdots x_{22}(-1)x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \sim [\omega]v_{12 \dots m},$$

i.e. we show that $[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell}$ is a highest weight vector with the highest weight Λ_m . Like in the proof of lemma 3, one easily sees that $x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \neq 0$. By (32) and lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{-\theta}(1)[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \\ = [\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)x_{-\theta}(2)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (29) and (32) and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\alpha_i}(0)[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \\ = [\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)x_{\alpha_i}(0)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = m + 1, \dots, \ell - 1$. By (29), (32) and lemma 9

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\alpha_m}(0)[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \\ = [\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m, m+2, \dots, \ell} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

By (29), (32) and (34)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\alpha_i}(0)[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} &= [\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{ii}(-1) \\ &\quad \cdot x_{i+2, i+2}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)(x_{i+1, i+1}(-1)x_{\alpha_i}(0) + x_{i, i+1}(-1))v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. Since $\alpha_\ell = (\ell\ell)$, by (32) and lemma 9

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\alpha_\ell}(0)[\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} \\ = [\omega]^{-1}x_{mm}(-1) \cdots x_{11}(-1)x_{\ell\ell}(-1)v_{m+1, \dots, \ell} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (48) holds.

Next, \mathcal{W} -action on (48) gives

$$(49) \quad x_{j_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{j_2 j_2}(-1) x_{j_1 j_1}(-1) v_I \sim [\omega] v_{j_1 \dots j_m}.$$

Finally, \mathfrak{g}_0 -action on (49) gives the claim. Assume that we have shown

$$(50) \quad x_{j_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{j_{t+1} j_{t+1}}(-1) x_{n j_t}(-1) x_{i_{t-1} j_{t-1}}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1) v_I \\ \sim [\omega] v_{i_1 \dots i_{t-1} n j_{t+1} \dots j_m},$$

where $i_t < n \leq j_t$. Since $[x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0), x_{n j_t}(-1)] = x_{n-1, j_t}(-1)$, we act on (50) with $x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0)$. We claim that what we will get is

$$(51) \quad x_{j_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{j_{t+1} j_{t+1}}(-1) x_{n-1, j_t}(-1) x_{i_{t-1} j_{t-1}}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1) v_I \\ \sim [\omega] v_{i_1 \dots i_{t-1}, n-1, j_{t+1} \dots j_m}.$$

Note that $[x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0), x_{j_r j_r}(-1)] = 0$, for $t < r \leq m$.

First, consider the case when $n \in I$. In this case also $[x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0), x_{i_r j_r}(-1)] = 0$, for $1 \leq r < t$. If $n-1 \in I$, then $x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0) v_I = 0$ and (51) follows. If $n-1 \notin I$, then $x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0) v_I = v_{I'}$, where $I' = (I \setminus \{n\}) \cup \{n-1\}$. Since $n-1 \notin I$, then $n-1 = j_s$, for some $s < t$. By lemma 11,

$$x_{i_s j_s}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1) v_{I'} = 0,$$

and (51) follows.

Now, consider the case when $n \notin I$. In this case $n = j_s$, for some $r < t$. Then $x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0) v_I = 0$, $[x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0), x_{i_r j_r}(-1)] = 0$, for $1 \leq r < t, r \neq s$, and $[x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(0), x_{i_s j_s}(-1)] = x_{i_s, n-1}(-1)$. If $n-1 \in I$, then, by lemma 11,

$$x_{i_s, n-1}(-1) x_{i_{s-1} j_{s-1}}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1) v_I = 0.$$

If $n-1 \notin I$, then $n-1 = j_{s-1}$ and

$$x_{i_s j_{s-1}}(-1) x_{i_{s-1} j_{s-1}}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1) v_I = 0,$$

by lemma 12. In both cases (51) follows.

After finitely many steps we will reach (47) ■

Proposition 14. *Let $x(\pi) = x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_2 j_2}(-1) x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$ be such that $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$, $i_r \neq i_t$ for $r \neq t$, and $i_t \leq j_t$. Set $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$, $I' = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Then $x(\pi) v_I \sim [\omega] v_{I'}$.*

Proof: Since $x(\pi)$ does not satisfy difference conditions, we can use relations (21) to express $x(\pi)$ in terms of monomials that satisfy difference conditions. We show that in each step of the reduction of $x(\pi)$ we will obtain another monomial $x(\pi')$ such that $x(\pi) < x(\pi')$, $x(\pi) v_I \sim x(\pi') v_I$ and such that colors of factors of $x(\pi')$ again lie in rows j_1, \dots, j_m and columns i_1, \dots, i_m , as are the colors of $x(\pi)$. In the end we will obtain $x(\pi) v_I = x_{i_{s_m} j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_{s_1} j_1}(-1) v_I$, where $i_{s_1} < \cdots < i_{s_m}$, and proposition 13 gives the claim.

Let $x(\pi) = x(\pi_1) x(\pi_2)$, where $x(\pi_1)$ is a leading term of some relation (21). In fact, since colors of factors of $x(\pi)$ all lie in different rows and different columns, relations that we use are (38) and (40).

First, assume that the reduction is made upon relation (38). In this case $x(\pi_1) v_I \sim x(\pi'_1) v_I$, with $x(\pi_1) < x(\pi'_1)$, and after the reduction we obtain another monomial $x(\pi') = x(\pi'_1) x(\pi_2)$ whose colors lie in the same rows and columns as colors of $x(\pi)$ and $x(\pi_1) < x(\pi'_1)$.

Next, assume that the reduction is made upon relation (40). Let $x(\pi_1) = x_{i_t j_t}(-1) x_{i_r j_r}(-1)$, for some $r < t$ and $i_t < i_r < j_r < j_t$. Let

$$x(\pi'_1) = x_{i_r j_t}(-1) x_{i_t j_r}(-1), \quad x(\pi''_1) = x_{i_t i_r}(-1) x_{j_r j_t}(-1).$$

Then, by (40),

$$x(\pi_1) v_I = C_1 x(\pi'_1) v_I + C_2 x(\pi''_1) v_I,$$

for some $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $x(\pi_1) < x(\pi'_1), x(\pi''_1)$. Denote by $x(\pi') = x(\pi'_1)x(\pi_2)$ and $x(\pi'') = x(\pi''_1)x(\pi_2)$. Then

$$x(\pi)v_I = C_1x(\pi')v_I + C_2x(\pi'')v_I,$$

and $x(\pi) < x(\pi'), x(\pi'')$. Note that colors of $x(\pi')$ lie in the same rows and columns as colors of $x(\pi)$. We claim that $x(\pi'')v_I = 0$. Let n be such that $j_n \leq i_r < j_{n+1}$. If $i_r \in I$, then

$$x_{i_t i_r}(-1)x_{i_n j_n}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_I = 0,$$

by lemma 11. If $i_r \notin I$, then $j_n = i_r$ and

$$x_{i_t i_r}(-1)x_{i_n j_n}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_I = 0,$$

by lemma 12. In both cases we get $x(\pi'')v_I = 0$. ■

Remark 3. Let $x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$ satisfy difference conditions. Assume

$$(52) \quad x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots s_{\ell-m}} \neq 0.$$

If $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \cap \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-m}\} = \emptyset$, then, by proposition 13,

$$x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots s_{\ell-m}} = [\omega]v_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

If $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \cap \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-m}\} \neq \emptyset$, we can use lemma 10 to “switch” indices and reduce the expression (52) to the one appearing in proposition 14. Concretely: let t be the smallest possible so that $j_t \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-m}\}$. Then $i_t \notin \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-m}\}$, by (52) and lemma 9, and

$$x_{i_t j_t}(-1)v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_{\ell-m}} \sim x_{j_t j_t}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots i_t \dots \underline{j_t} \dots s_{\ell-m}},$$

by lemma 10. Proceed inductively – reduce the expression

$$x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{j_t j_t}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots i_t \dots \underline{j_t} \dots s_{\ell-m}}$$

to the one appearing in proposition 14.

Hence, in this case, there is no index occuring more than twice in the sequence $i_1, \dots, i_m, j_1, \dots, j_m, s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-m}$, and

$$x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)v_{s_1 \dots s_{\ell-m}} \sim [\omega]v_{r_1 \dots r_m},$$

where r_1, \dots, r_m are exactly those indices appearing twice in the sequence.

8. PROOF OF LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

Let $x(\pi) = x_{i_m j_m}(-1) \cdots x_{i_2 j_2}(-1)x_{i_1 j_1}(-1)$ satisfy difference and initial conditions on $W(\Lambda_i)$. Set $J = \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$, $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. By Proposition 7 there are operators, denoted by w_1, w_2 that commute with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ and such that

$$(53) \quad w_1 v_{\Lambda_i} = v_J, \quad w_2 v_I = v_{\Lambda_{i_m}}.$$

Moreover, operators w_1 and w_2 act on V_i and V_m , correspondingly, as multiplication in the exterior algebra by suitable vectors. Let $w_2^\omega = [\omega]w_2[\omega]^{-1}$; it also commutes with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Then, by proposition 13,

$$w_2^\omega w_1 x(\pi)v_{\Lambda_i} \sim [\omega]v_{\Lambda_{i_m}}.$$

Let $x(\mu) = x_{r_n s_n}(-1) \cdots x_{r_2 s_2}(-1)x_{r_1 s_1}(-1)$ also satisfy difference and initial conditions on $W(\Lambda_i)$, and let $x(\mu) > x(\pi)$. We will show that

$$(54) \quad w_2^\omega w_1 x(\mu)v_{\Lambda_i} = 0.$$

If $n > m$, then either

$$x_{r_m s_m}(-1) \cdots x_{r_1 s_1}(-1)v_J = 0$$

or

$$x_{r_m s_m}(-1) \cdots x_{r_1 s_1}(-1)v_J \sim [\omega]v',$$

for some $v' \in V_m$ (cf. Remark 3). In the first case relation (54) directly follows. In the second case we have

$$x(\mu)v_J \sim [\omega]x_{r_n s_n}(0) \cdots x_{r_{m+1} s_{m+1}}(0)v' = 0,$$

by lemma 6. Hence, (54) follows.

If $n = m$, then there exists t , $1 \leq t \leq m$, such that $(r_1 s_1) = (i_1 j_1)$, \dots , $(r_{t-1} s_{t-1}) = (i_{t-1} j_{t-1})$ and $(r_t s_t) > (i_t j_t)$. Then either $r_t = i_t$ and $s_t < j_t$, or $r_t < i_t$.

Consider first the case when $r_t = i_t$ and $s_t < j_t$. Then $j_{t-1} = s_{t-1} < s_t < j_t$, hence $s_t \in J$. Then $x_{r_t s_t}(-1) \cdots x_{r_1 s_1}(-1)v_J = 0$, by lemma 11, and (54) follows.

Now, consider the case when $r_t < i_t$. If $x(\mu)v_J = 0$, we are done. If $x(\mu)v_J \neq 0$, then, by remark 3, in the multiset $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\} \cup \{s_1, \dots, s_m\} \cup J$ there is no index occurring more than twice. Furthermore, since $r_t < j_t$ and $s_p = j_p$, for $p < t$, index r_t appears twice in the forementioned multiset. Hence $x(\mu)v_J \sim [\omega]v_{I'}$ and $r_t \in I'$. Since $r_t \notin I$ and $r_t < i_t$, the action of w_2 will annihilate $v_{I'}$ (see (53) and proposition 7), i.e. $w_2^\omega[\omega]v_{I'} = 0$.

Set $w = w_2^\omega w_1$. By the above considerations we have

Proposition 15. *Let $x(\pi)$ satisfy difference and initial conditions for $L(\Lambda_i)$. Write $x(\pi) = x(\pi_1)x(\pi_2)$, where $x(\pi_1)$ is the (-1) -part of a monomial, and $x(\pi_2)$ the rest of the monomial. Then there exists an operator $w : L(\Lambda_i) \rightarrow L(\Lambda_{i'})$ such that:*

- w commutes with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$,
- $wx(\pi_1)v_{\Lambda_i} \sim [\omega]v_{\Lambda_{i'}}$,
- $x(\pi_2^+)$ satisfies IC and DC for $L(\Lambda_{i'})$,
- if $x(\pi')$ has a (-1) -part $x(\pi'_1)$ greater than $x(\pi_1)$, then $wx(\pi')v_{\Lambda_i} = 0$.

Proof: We have already shown the majority of the claims. It remains to see that $x(\pi_2^+)$ satisfies IC and DC for $L(\Lambda_{i'})$, but this is clear from the description of level 1 difference conditions in (25) and the definition of initial conditions (see also remark 2). \blacksquare

Like in the $A_\ell^{(1)}$ -case, proposition 5 enables us to straightforwardly generalize proposition 15 for higher levels (cf. [T2]). Also, the proof of linear independence is the same as in the $A_\ell^{(1)}$ -case, hence we give here only the sketch of the proof.

Theorem 16. *The set*

$$\{x(\pi)v_\Lambda \mid x(\pi) \text{ satisfies DC and IC for } L(\Lambda)\}$$

is a basis of $W(\Lambda)$.

Sketch of proof: Assume

$$(55) \quad \sum c_\pi x(\pi)v_\Lambda = 0,$$

where all monomials $x(\pi)$ satisfy difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda)$. Fix $x(\pi)$ in (55) and assume that $c_{\pi'} = 0$ for $x(\pi') < x(\pi)$. We show that $c_\pi = 0$.

Choose an operator w from proposition 15 and apply it on (55). By proposition 15, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= w \sum c_{\pi'} x(\pi')v_\Lambda \\ &= w \sum_{\pi'_1 > \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi')v_\Lambda + w \sum_{\pi'_1 < \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi')v_\Lambda + w \sum_{\pi'_1 = \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi')v_\Lambda \\ &= w \sum_{\pi'_1 = \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi')v_\Lambda \sim \sum_{\pi'_1 = \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi'_2)[\omega]v_{\Lambda'} \\ &= [\omega] \sum_{\pi'_1 = \pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi'_2^+)v_{\Lambda'} \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\omega]$ is injective, it follows that

$$\sum_{\pi'_1=\pi_1} c_{\pi'} x(\pi_2'^+) v_{\Lambda'} = 0.$$

This is a relation of linear dependence between monomial vectors satisfying difference and initial conditions for $W(\Lambda')$ with all monomials of degree greater than the degree of $x(\pi)$. By the induction hypothesis they are linearly independent, and, in particular, $c_{\pi} = 0$. ■

REFERENCES

- [AKS] E. Ardonne, R. Kedem, M. Stone, *Fermionic characters and arbitrary highest-weight integrable \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} -modules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), 427–464.
- [Ba] I. Baranović, *Combinatorial bases of Feigin-Stoyanovsky's type subspaces of level 2 standard modules for $D_4^{(1)}$* , Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 1007–1051.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algebres de Lie*, Hermann, 1975.
- [Bu] M. Butorac, *Combinatorial bases of principal subspaces for the affine Lie algebra of type $B_2^{(1)}$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **218** (2014), 424–447.
- [C] C. Calinescu, *Principal subspaces of higher-level standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$ -modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **210** (2007), 559–575.
- [CalLM1] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky, A. Milas, *Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of certain $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules, II: higher-level case*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **212** (2008), 1928–1950.
- [CalLM2] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky, A. Milas, *Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of level one modules for the untwisted affine Lie algebras of types A, D, E*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 167–192.
- [CLM1] S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky, A. Milas, *The Rogers-Ramanujan recursion and intertwining operators*, Comm. Contemporary Math. **5** (2003), 947–966.
- [CLM2] S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky, A. Milas, *The Rogers-Selberg recursions, the Gordon-Andrews identities and intertwining operators*, Ramanujan J. **12** (2006), 379–397.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, *Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras*, Commun. Math. Physics **180** (1996), 671–707.
- [FJLMM] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Bosonic formulas for (k, ℓ) -admissible partitions*, Ramanujan J. **7** (2003), 485–517.; *Addendum to 'Bosonic formulas for (k, ℓ) -admissible partitions'*, Ramanujan J. **7** (2003), 519–530.
- [FJMMT] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, E. Mukhin and Y. Takeyama, *Fermionic formulas for $(k, 3)$ -admissible configurations*, Publ. RIMS **40** (2004), 125–162.
- [FS] A. V. Stoyanovsky and B. L. Feigin, *Functional models of the representations of current algebras, and semi-infinite Schubert cells*, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **28** (1994), 68–90; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), 55–72; preprint B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, *Quasi-particles models for the representations of Lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold*, hep-th/9308079, RIMS 942.
- [FF] A. J. Feingold and S. Fredenhagen, *A new perspective on the Frenkel-Zhu fusion rule theorem*, J. Algebra, **320** (2008), 2079–2100.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, preprint, 1989; Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math. Vol. **134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [Ge] G. Georgiev, *Combinatorial constructions of modules for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, I. Principal subspace*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **112** (1996), 247–286.
- [Ga] T. Gannon, *The automorphisms of affine fusion rings*, Adv. Math. **165** (2002), 165–193.
- [H] J. Humphreys *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer, New-York, 1994.
- [K] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky, H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [LP] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, *Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Contemporary Math. **46**, (1985.), 1–84.

- [MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and combinatorial identities*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **652**, 1999.
 - [P1] M. Primc, *Vertex operator construction of standard modules for $A_n^{(1)}$* , Pacific J. Math **162** (1994), 143–187.
 - [P2] M. Primc, *Basic Representations for classical affine Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), 1–50.
 - [P3] M. Primc, *(k, r) -admissible configurations and intertwining operators*, Contemp. Math. **442** (2007), 425–434.
 - [P4] M. Primc, *Combinatorial bases of modules for affine Lie algebra $B_2^{(1)}$* , Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), 197–225.
 - [PŠ] M. Primc and T. Šikić, *Leading terms of relations for standard modules of affine Lie algebras $C_n^{(1)}$* , arXiv:1506.05026 [math.QA]
 - [Sa] C. Sadowski, *Presentations of the principal subspaces of the higher level $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$ -modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **219** (2015) 2300–2345.
 - [Sto] A.V. Stoyanovsky, *Lie Algebra Deformations and Character Formulas* (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **32** (1998), 84–86; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **32** (1998), 66–68.
 - [T1] G. Trupčević, *Combinatorial bases of Feigin-Stoyanovsky’s type subspaces of level 1 standard $\mathfrak{sl}(\ell + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -modules*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), 3913–3940.
 - [T2] G. Trupčević, *Combinatorial bases of Feigin-Stoyanovsky’s type subspaces of higher-level standard $\mathfrak{sl}(\ell + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -modules*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 3744–3774.
- E-mail address:* `baranovic.ivana@yahoo.com`, `primc@math.hr`, `goran.trupcevic@ufzg.hr`

IVANA BARANOVIĆ, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, FACULTY OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, ZAGREB, CROATIA

MIRKO PRIMC, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZAGREB, CROATIA

GORAN TRUPČEVIĆ, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, FACULTY OF TEACHER EDUCATION, ZAGREB, CROATIA